

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,890	02/06/2004	Srinka Ghosh	10030771-1 8510		
7	7590 08/30/2006			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			LIN, JERRY		
Legal Departm	ent, DL429				
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/773,890	GHOSH, SRINKA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jerry Lin	1631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or e					
Application Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	, ,	0 There is 2	(DTO 440)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/773,890 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-14, and 25, drawn to a method of processing microarray data, classified in class 702, subclass 19 (species election is required).
 - II. Claim 15, drawn to feature signal data, classified in class 707, subclass01.
 - III. Claims 16-24, and 26, drawn to an apparatus for processing microarray data, classified in class 422, subclass 129.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Group I and III are directed to related products/processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the methods described in Group I include the step of "extracting feature signals from the regularly shaped region of feature extractability." Whereas, the program described in Group III does not include this step. In addition, the product of Group III includes stored, computer readable microarray data, which is not present in Group I. Since the method of Group I is different than the program of Group III and the product of Group III includes components

Application/Control Number: 10/773,890

Art Unit: 1631

not used in Group I, the two groups have different modes of operation and have

Page 3

materially different design. Thus Groups I and III are distinct.

3. Groups I and III are related to Group II as processes of making and product

made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1)

that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different

product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially

different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case Group II is drawn to a data

set. Although the claims recite, "determined by the method of claim 1", the claimed

invention does not require the particulars of claim 1, since it is drawn to the data set

itself. Thus any method of extracting feature-signal data from a microarray data may be

used to create a feature-signal data set. The product as claimed can be made by

another a materially different process. Group II is distinct from Groups I and III.

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given

above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02),

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election of Group I

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species:

Species A, claim 5, drawn to a user using a touch screen device.

Species B, claim 6, drawn to a user using a light pen.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,890

Art Unit: 1631

Species C, claim 7, drawn to a user using a mouse and keyboard input.

The species are independent or distinct because Species A, B, and C are directed to related processes. The related species are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope; the species as claimed are not obvious variants; and the species as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, each process using a different mode of input. Each process has a different design and mode of operation. Thus the species are distinct.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4, and 8-14 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1631

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2561. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00am-6:30pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center at (800) 786-9199.

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER